

<u>Assessment of Dual antiplatelet therapy versus Rivaroxaban In</u> atrial <u>Fibrillation patients Treated with left atrial appendage closure</u>

ADRIFT investigators

DOI public at www.action-coeur.org









Healing: 30 days to 3 months





Kar et al. JACC Intv 2014;7:801-9

CENTRAL ILLUSTRATION: Kaplan-Meier Cumulative Event-Free Curves of Ischemic Strokes and Transient Ischemic Attacks With and Without Thrombus on the Device



Risk of device thrombosis

Adrift







Lim HS. Effect of atrial fibrillation on atrial thrombogenesis in humans: impact of rate and rhythm. JACC. 2013;61:852-60

Meus R. Prothrombotic State in Patients With a Left Atrial Appendage Thrombus of Unknown Origin and Cerebrovascular Events. Stroke 2016;47:1872-8.

Kamath GS. Activation of the endogenous coagulation system in patients with atrial flutter: relationship to echocardiographic markers of thromboembolic risk. Cardiol J. 2010;17:390-6.





Post-LAAC antithrombotic treatment





Holmes et al . JACC 2014;64:1-12



Safety

DAPT vs. warfarin

SAPT vs. NOAC







Central randomization: CleanWeb[®] software (Telemedicine Technologies SAS) in a 1:1:1 allocation to rivaroxaban 10 mg (R¹⁰); rivaroxaban 15mg (R¹⁵); DAPT with aspirin 75mg and clopidogrel 75mg.





Objectives

- Thrombin generation (F1+2) at Day 10, 2-4 hours after drug intake, on platelet poor plasma (Primary EP)
- Thrombin-antithrombin complex, D-Dimers, vWf, PAI-1, Prothrombin time, Rivaroxaban anti-Xa activity, at Day 10 and Day 90 (secondary EP)
- Clinical endpoints at Day 90: death, MI, Stroke, peripheral embolism, major or clinically significant bleeding and, device thrombosis





Statistical analyses

- Main analysis on the per-protocol population
- Complementary ITT and as-treated analyses were performed as sensitivity analyses
- Global comparisons among the 3 groups used Kruskall-Wallis non-parametric test
- Then *a priori* defined comparisons between each dose of Rivaroxaban and DAPT were performed using Wilcoxon test or using Chi-square or Fisher's exact test for binary variables
- Nominal p values <0.05 and < 0.016 (Bonferroni's adjustment) were considered significant for global study and Rivaroxaban vs.
 DAPT comparisons, respectively





Study organization

- Academic Coordinating Center: ACTION, Institute of Cardiology–Pitié-Salpêtrière, Paris
- Academic Sponsor: AP-HP, Paris
- Academic Global Trial Operations: ACTION, URC-Lariboisière, Paris
- Funding: Bayer and ACTION
- Investigation sites : 10 French Intervention Centers
- Blinded Central Lab for biological measurements
- Blinded Central Imaging Lab for echo and CT scans
- Blinded Clinical Event Committee for adjudication











Results





Baseline characteristics

	Rivaroxaban 10 mg Rivaroxaban 15 mg		DAPT
	n=37	n=34	n=33
Male gender	20 (54%)	22 (65%)	23 (70%)
Age (years)	78 ± 8	78 ± 9	76 ± 8
Heart Failure	6 (16%)	7 (21%)	6 (18%)
CHADS ₂ -VASc Score	4.6 ± 1.3	4.7 ± 1.5	4.5 ± 1.5
HAS-BLED Score	3.8 ± 1.1	3.7 ± 1.0	3.5 ± 0.8
Previous Stroke (any)	15 (41%)	20 (59%)	15 (45%)
- Hemorrhagic	8 (22%)	4 (12%)	7 (21%)
- Ischemic	4 (11%)	11 (32%)	5 (15%)
- Hemorrhagic and Ischemic	1 (3%)	4 (12%)	3 (9%)
- Unknown	2 (5%)	1 (3%)	0 (0%)
Previous TIA	2 (5%)	4 (12%)	4 (12%)
Permanent contraindication to	33 (89%)	30 (88%)	27 (82%)



Procedural characteristics

	Rivaroxaban 10 mg	Rivaroxaban 15 mg	DAPT
	n=37	n=34	n=33
Indication for LAAC (several possible)			
Previous major ISTH bleeding	26 (70%)	22 (65%)	22 (67%)
High risk of fall	8 (22%)	5 (15%)	2 (6%)
Labile INRs	3 (8%)	2 (6%)	0 (0%)
Known hemostasis disorder	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (6%)
Stroke recurrence despite adequate anticoagulation	1 (3%)	3 (9%)	3 (9%)
Lack of compliance with anticoagulation therapy	1 (3%)	1 (3%)	0 (0%)
Other contraindication to chronic anticoagulation	0 (0%)	1 (3%)	4 (12%)
Left atrial diameter (mm)	55 ± 18	43 ± 13	44 ± 14
Type of LAAC device			
Watchman	12 (32%)	12 (35%)	12 (36%)
Amulet	25 (68%)	22 (65%)	21 (64%)
Procedure related complication (any)	3 (8%)	2 (6%)	2 (6%)
Groin hematoma	2 (5%)	1 (3%)	1 (3%)
Blood transfusion and groin hematoma	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (3%)
Pseudoaneurysm	0 (0%)	1 (3%)	0 (0%)
Transfusion	1 (3%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)

Adrift Primary Endpoint Prothrombin fragments 1+2 @ Day₁₀





Biological endpoints @ day 10

	Rivaroxaban 10 mg (n=37)	Rivaroxaban 15 mg (n=34)	DAPT (n=33)	p value	
TAT (ng/mL)	3.3	3.6	4.2	0.096	
	(2.7-4.0)	(3.1-5.7)	(3.0-7.2)		
	1006	1162	1712		
D-Dimers (ng/mL)	(796-1306)	(688-1451)	(949-1472)	0.286	
\/\\/E \\g (%)	207	208	221	0 982	
V VV F Ag (70)	(176-295)	(156-273)	(178-265)	0.382	
PAI-1 (UI/mL)	17	15	14	0.312	
	(10-24)	(10-25)	(9-21)		



Similar trends @ 3 months



Clinical Endpoints @ 3 months

	Rivaroxaban 10mg n=37	Rivaroxaban 15mg n=34	DAPT n=33	p value
Death	0 (0%)	1 (3%)	0 (0%)	NS
Myocardial infarction	1 (3%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	NS
Stroke/TIA	1 (3%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	NS
Systemic embolism	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	-
ISTH Major or clinically significant	9 (24%)	4 (11%)	9 (27%)	NS
TIMI Major	2 (5%)	0 (0%)	1 (3%)	NS
TIMI Minor	7 (19%)	4 (12%)	7 (21%)	NS
TIMI Minimal	4 (11%)	8 (23%)	7 (21%)	NS
Net clinical benefit	9 (24%)	5 (15%)	9 (27%)	NS

Net clinical benefit: Death, Stroke, Myocardial infarction, Systemic embolism, Major or clinically significant ISTH bleeding





Woman, 79y, Amulet 28, DAPT, @3months





Woman, 69y, Watchman 24, DAPT, @3months







Conclusions

- The combined antithrombotic regimens currently used after LAAC may not be adapted to HBR patients undergoing this procedure
- A reduced dose of rivaroxaban (monotherapy) is superior to DAPT in controlling thrombin generation
- A reduced dose of rivaroxaban appears clinically feasible and deserves further evaluation in large clinical trials.

